In addition, it remains to be determined whether the strong connection between the ability of a host to orient a guest and the magnitude of $K_{\mathrm{a}}$, apparent from the present work, is a general phenomenon.
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Recent carbon vaporization studies by Smalley and co-workers ${ }^{1}$ have provided evidence for the existence of a remarkably stable $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ species. It has been proposed that this cluster may be nearly spherical in shape, with a truncated icosahedral structure made up of 12 pentagonal rings and 20 hexagonal rings. The stability of this molecule has been discussed in terms of a simple Hückel model ${ }^{2}$ and Herndon-Simpson resonance theory, ${ }^{3}$ and the electronic structure has been studied in considerable detail using a three-dimensional Hückel scheme. ${ }^{4}$ Very recent MNDO calculations ${ }^{5}$ have also investigated the stability of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and have provided an estimate of the ionization potential of this species.

The present paper describes preliminary results of discrete-variational-X $\alpha$ (DV-X $\alpha$ ) electronic structure calculations ${ }^{6}$ for this soccerball-shaped carbon cluster. This method has been used to study a wide range of molecules, from small hydrocarbons ${ }^{7}$ to large aromatic systems, ${ }^{8}$ and the accuracy of the Slater transi-tion-state technique ${ }^{9}$ in calculating optical transition energies and ionization potentials is well-documented. ${ }^{7,8}$

For the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecule, ground-state calculations and transi-tion-state calculations for the lowest allowed optical transition and the first ionization energy were performed. In order to simplify the computations, it was assumed that all carbon-carbon bond lengths are equal. Two cases were considered: (a) $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length of graphite ( $1.421 \AA$ ) and (b) C-C bond length of benzene ( $1.395 \AA$ ). The atomic basis functions were determined from a separate Hartree-Fock-Slater calculation; in this, an effective configuration of $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{2} 3 s^{0} 3 p^{0}$ was used for carbon. Also, a potential well ${ }^{7,10}$ was placed on the C atom to localize the virtual 3 s and 3 p orbitals, which would otherwise be unreasonably diffuse in the ground-state potential. Finally, for convenience, the calculations were performed under $D_{3}$ symmetry rather than under the full $I_{h}$ symmetry of the molecule.

The results of the ground-state calculation are shown in Figure 1 for the case where the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distance of graphite was used. The results of the calculation using the shorter $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length
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Figure 1. Orbital energy level diagram for the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ cluster: (a) DV-X $\alpha$ calculations assume $D_{3}$ symmetry; the results shown are for a uniform carbon-carbon bond distance of $1.421 \AA$ (graphite). (b) Hückel results are from ref 4 . In the diagram, $\beta$ has been given a value of -2.52 eV .

Table I

|  | case A $^{a}$ | case $\mathrm{B}^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| first IP $^{b}$ | 6.42 eV | 6.38 eV |
| optical transition |  |  |
|  | 2.52 eV | 2.65 eV |

${ }^{a}$ Case A: C-C bond length $=1.421 \AA$. Case $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length $=1.395 \AA . \quad{ }^{b} 22 \mathrm{a}_{1}+39 \mathrm{e}+40 \mathrm{e}$ under $D_{3}$ symmetry; $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{u}}$ under $I_{h}$ symmetry. ${ }^{c} 22 \mathrm{a}_{1}+39 \mathrm{e}+40 \mathrm{e} \rightarrow 20 \mathrm{a}_{2}+42 \mathrm{e}$ under $D_{3}$ symmetry; $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{u}} \rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{18}$ under $I_{h}$ symmetry.
of benzene are very similar, with the ground-state orbital energies shifted to higher values by less than 0.10 eV for the occupied orbitals and by less than 0.20 eV for the unoccupied, compared to the diagram in Figure 1. For comparison, the orbital energies from the three-dimensional Hückel studies of Raghavachari and co-workers ${ }^{4}$ are also displayed. In that work, the energy of the lowest allowed electronic transition ( $h_{u} \rightarrow t_{1 g}$ ) was found to be equal to $-\beta$. In Figure 1, the value of $\beta$ was taken from matching the DV-X $\alpha$ calculation of this transition energy (see Table I and the discussion below). Also, in the schematic energy level diagrams, the energy of the highest occupied molecular orbital of the Hückel study ( $h_{u}$ ) was set equal to that found in the DV-X $\alpha$ work $\left(22 a_{1}+39 e+40 e\right)$ in order to allow a straightforward comparison. The DV-X $\alpha$ results correspond quite well with those of the simple Hückel model. This correspondence is shown in Figure 1 by lines connecting the two energy level schemes. It is clear from the diagrams that the lowest energy allowed electronic transition under $D_{3}$ symmetry is $22 \mathrm{a}_{1}+39 \mathrm{e}+40 \mathrm{e} \rightarrow 20 \mathrm{a}_{2}+42 \mathrm{e}$, which corresponds to the $\mathrm{h}_{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ transition under the full $I_{h}$ symmetry of the molecule.

Since Koopmans' theorem is not valid in local density, the transition-state method ${ }^{9}$ was used to calculate the first ionization potential and the energy of the first electronic transition. This method is known to take into account electron relaxation effects which occur upon ionization or optical excitation. ${ }^{7.11}$ The results of these calculations are listed in Table I. The calculated ionization potential $(6.4 \mathrm{eV})$ differs substantially with the results of previous studies, where values of $7.45-8.41 \mathrm{eV}^{5}$ and $8.1-9.1 \mathrm{eV}^{4}$ were obtained. It is also interesting to note that this lower value for the ionization potential raises the possibility that a near-resonance ionization process may be an important factor in the production of $\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{+}$ions when an ArF laser ( $6.42-\mathrm{eV}$ photon energy) is used as the ionizing source. ${ }^{12}$ Finally, it is apparent that changing

[^1]the carbon-carbon distance from that of graphite (case A) to that of benzene (case B) has very little effect on the calculated ionization potential (the difference is less than $1 \%$ ) and only a moderate effect on the calculated optical transition energy. For both cases, the calculations predict that the lowest energy electronic transition should lie in the visible region of the spectrum; the absorption wavelength is found to be 492 nm for case A and 468 nm for case $B$.

Thus, the $\mathrm{DV}-\mathrm{X} \alpha$ calculations predict that the lowest electronic transition in the soccerball-shaped cluster $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ should lie at an experimentally accessible energy. Measurement of this transition would provide valuable evidence as to whether or not the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ species detected by Smalley and co-workers ${ }^{1}$ is indeed shaped like a soccerball. The previous success of the DV-X $\alpha$ method in the calculation of ionization potentials and optical excitations certainly suggests that its use as a predictive tool is warranted, and the results of experimental studies of the optical spectrum of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ are eagerly awaited.
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Cervinomycin ${ }^{1}$ is an antianaerobic and antimycoplasmal antibiotic produced by Streptomyces cervinus sp. nov. The antibiotic consists of two components, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (1), $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{9}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (2), $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{9}$, which are hardly soluble in any solvents. Triacetylcervinomycin $\mathrm{A}_{1}(3)$ [mp 283-285 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}-115^{\circ}$ (c 0.3 , $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; EIMS, $m / z 655\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), \mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{12}$ ], which was obtained in the course of the structure elucidation of cervinomycins, is developing as a medical drug because of its high solubility and low toxicity in addition to a potent antianaerobic activity against Clostridium difficile, Reptococcus variabillis, and Streptococcus mutans. In this paper we wish to report the structure of cervinomycin by means of $400-\mathrm{MHz}$ NMR spectroscopy.

Methylation of 2 with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ afforded two methyl derivatives, $O$-methylcervinomycin $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (4) $\left[\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ dec, $[\alpha]^{27} \mathrm{D}-499^{\circ}$ (c 0.5 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); EIMS, $m / z 541\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{9}$; UV $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 248.0$ $\mathrm{nm}(\epsilon 42700), 317.3$ (27300)] and $C, O$-dimethylcervinomycin $\mathrm{A}_{2}(5)\left[\mathrm{mp}>255^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec},[\alpha]^{27} \mathrm{D}-459^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$; EIMS, $m / z 555\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{9} ; \mathrm{UV} \lambda_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{CHCl}}{ }_{3} 252.2 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 35000)$,
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$\begin{array}{ll}1 & R=H \\ \frac{3}{3} & R=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\end{array}$


| $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $R_{1}, R_{2}=H$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{4}{5}$ | $R_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, R_{2}=H$ |
| $\frac{5}{6}$ | $R_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, R_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| $\underline{2}$ | $R_{1}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, R_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ |

327.2 (21500). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of 4 indicates the presence of a methyl ( $\delta 23.3$ ), two methylenes ( $\delta 42.2$ and 43.5 ), three methoxyls ( $\delta 56.4,56.7$, and 63.2 ), an oxymethylene ( $\delta 64.4$ ), and a quaternary carbon ( $\delta 92.1$ ) bonded to an oxygen and a nitrogen atom. Compound 4 contains further 13 olefinic carbons in the region at $100-140 \mathrm{ppm}$, an amide group and five olefinic oxycarbons in 149-160 ppm, a doubly $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl ( $\delta 172.4$ ), and two quinone carbonyls ( $\delta 178.2$ and 183.2). The location of two isolated olefinic protons ( $\delta 7.13$ and 7.64 ) was assigned from the structure of 3,4 -dimethoxy- 6 -hydroxybenzoic acid, obtained by degradation of 2 (or 1 ) with 0.5 N KOH in dioxane, which suggests the presence of partial structure (I) in cervinomycins.

For the connectivity of each functional group, a long-range ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift-correlated 2D NMR ${ }^{2}$ and LSPD $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ long-range spin decoupling) experiment ${ }^{3}$ were carried out for 4. The existence of neighboring two methylene groups ( $\mathrm{C}-1, \delta 43.5$; $\mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{~b} \delta 3.671 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{m}, 4.181 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{C}-2, \delta 64.4 \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{~b} ; \delta 4.19$ 2 Ht ) placed between a nitrogen and an oxygen atom was confirmed from the proton coupling pattern. The observation of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ long-range couplings between $\mathrm{H}-1$ and an amide carbon ( $\mathrm{C}-28, \delta 160.1$ ) and between $\mathrm{H}-2$ and a quarternary carbon (C-4, $\delta 92.1$ ) indicated the existence of a five-membered ring including a nitrogen atom of an amide group and an oxygen atom. Long-range couplings were observed between a methyl proton ( $\delta$ 1.43 ) and $\mathrm{C}-4$ and between methylenic protons ( $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}, \delta 3.21$, 3.29) and two $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons ( $\mathrm{C}-7, \delta 121.3$, and $\mathrm{C}-27, \delta 118.5$ ) and $\mathrm{C}-4$. In the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ type protons $\mathrm{H}-9$ and $\mathrm{H}-10$, appearing at $\delta 7.93$ and 8.18 , respectively, the former $\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CH}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ couples with $\mathrm{C}-7$ and the later ( ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CH}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), with $\mathrm{C}-12(\delta 178.2)$. These NMR evidences deduced the existence of a partial structure II consisting of conjugated five rings including a 1,4 -benzoquinone moiety for 4 , as shown in Scheme I. The validity of structure II was also confirmed from the observation of the NOE effects
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